Engineering Electromagnetics

Chapter 9: Time-Varying Fields and Maxwell's Equations

STUDENTS-HUB.com



Faraday's Experiment

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Effect of Increasing **B**



Closing the switch results in current that initially increases with time in the lower windings. Magnetic flux density **B** is generated, which now links the upper windings; these are found to carry current, I_{ind} . This current exists *as long as* **B** *increases with time*. Note the direction of the induced current and the position of the ammeter.

More specifically, the induced current is proportional to the time rate of change of the *magnetic flux* (the surface integral of **B** over S).



Steady State

Once steady state is reached, in which **B** is at a constant value, the induced current is found to be *zero*.

Effect of Decreasing **B**



Opening the switch results in current that initially decreases with time in the lower windings. Magnetic flux density **B** collapses, and the upper windings carry current, I_{ind} in the opposite direction from before. This current exists as long as the magnetic flux decreases with time.

Once **B** is reduced completely to zero, the induced current is zero as well.

Electromotive Force (emf)



Next, a unit normal vector to the surface, \mathbf{n} , is shown. The relative directions of \mathbf{n} , and the contour C are defined by the right-hand convention: Right hand thumb in direction of \mathbf{n} ; fingers then curve in the direction of C.

The *electromotive force*, or *emf*, is defined as the closed path integral of **E** about *C*:

$$\operatorname{emf} = \oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L}$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Faraday's Law of Induction

$\mathbf{B}(t)$



Faraday's Law states that the induced emf around a closed path is equal to the time rate of change of the magnetic flux through the area surrounded by the path:

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt}$$

where the flux is:

$$\Phi = \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da$$

Note the use of the normal unit vector here, which determines the sign of the flux, and ultimately the emf. Uploaded By: Mohammad Awawdeh

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Faraday's Law in Detail





Using the definitions of emf and the magnetic flux in this situation:

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt}$$

becomes:

$$\operatorname{emf} = \oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_S \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da$$

Question:

When we strictly apply this rule to the illustration at the left, do we conclude that **B** is increasing or decreasing with time?

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Alternative Expression for Faraday's Law (Another Maxwell Equation)

2

 \geq

First move the time derivative into the interior of the right-hand integral:

$$\Rightarrow \quad \text{emf} = \oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Second, use Stokes' theorem to write the line integral of **E** as the surface integral of its curl:

$$\implies \int_{S} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Third, simplify by noting that both integrals are taken over the same surface:

$$\implies (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Finally, obtain the point form of Faraday's Law:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

Uploaded By: Mohammad Awawdeh

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Example

B(t) Start with a uniform (but time-varying) field: $\mathbf{B} = B_0 e^{kt} \mathbf{a}_z$ Then $\operatorname{emf} = \oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = 2\pi a E_{\phi}$ $= -\int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -k B_0 e^{kt} \pi a^2$ As this calculation will work for any radius, we can replace the fixed radius with a variable radius and write:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{2}kB_0e^{kt}\rho\mathbf{a}_{\phi}$$





radial variation only

Multiply through by ρ and integrate both sides:

$$-\frac{1}{2}kB_0e^{kt}\rho^2 = \rho E_\phi$$

Obtain, as before: $\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{2}kB_0e^{kt}\rho\mathbf{a}_{\phi}$

An observation: The given **B** field does not satisfy all of Maxwell's equations! More about this later...

Uploaded By: Mohammad Awawdeh



STUDENTS-HUB.com

Induced emf from a Moving Closed Path

In the case shown here, the flux within a closed path is increasing with time as a result of the sliding bar, moving at constant velocity v.

The conducting path is assumed perfectly-conducting, so that the induced emf appears entirely across the voltmeter.

The magnetic flux enclosed by the conducting path is

$$\Phi = \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da$$
$$= Byd$$

where the y dimension varies with time.

Therefore:
$$\operatorname{emf} = -\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -B\frac{dy}{dt}d = -B\nu d$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com



Motional EMF

The sliding bar contains free charges (electrons) that experience Lorentz forces as they move through the **B** field:



..and so now the *motional emf* is the closed path integral of \mathbf{E}_m over the same path as before.

$$\operatorname{emf} = \oint \mathbf{E}_m \cdot d\mathbf{L} = \oint (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot d\mathbf{L}$$

Note that the motional field intensity exists only in the part of the circuit that is in motion.

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Reprise of the Original Problem



Two Contributions to emf

For a moving conducting path in an otherwise uniform field, the emf is composed entirely of motional emf:

emf =
$$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = \oint \mathbf{E}_m \cdot d\mathbf{L} = \oint (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot d\mathbf{L}$$

When the **B** field is time-varying as well, then both effects need to be taken into account. The total emf is:

emf =
$$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S} + \oint (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot d\mathbf{L}$$

Transformer emf Motional emf
Together, the two effects are really just... $-\frac{d\Phi}{dt}$
NTS-HUB.com

STUDE

An Apparent Contradiction

We now have two curl equations:



Taking the divergence of the second equation gives us a result that is identically zero:

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \equiv 0 = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}$$

But a previous exercise gave us this result: the equation of continuity:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho_{\nu}}{\partial t}$$

So what went wrong?

STUDENTS-HUB.com

The Missing Term -- Displacement Current Density



Symmetry in the Curl Equations

Our new result states that the total current is composed of conduction current and displacement current:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J}_d$$

where the displacement current density is:

$$\mathbf{J}_d = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$

Conduction current density is: $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$ as before.

In the absence of conduction current, and when fields are time-varying, we have:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$
 (if $\mathbf{J} = 0$)

 $\partial \mathbf{B}$

 ∂t

Note the symmetry when comparing to Faraday's Law: $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} =$ STUDENTS-HUB.com

Displacement Current in Ampere's Law

Displacement current is found from displacement current density by taking the appropriate surface integral:

$$I_d = \int_S \mathbf{J}_d \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_S \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Such an integral of Ampere's Circuital Law curl equation gives:

$$\int_{S} (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{S} \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S} + \int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Applying Stokes' Theorem to the left side gives the more familiar form of Ampere's Law, now with displacement current added:

$$\oint \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = I + I_d = I + \int_S \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Demonstration of Displacement Current

In this situation, a time-varying magnetic field links a wire loop that is connected to a parallel-plate capacitor.

We assume for the moment that conduction current *I* exists in the wire. Ampere's Law is applied to the circular path shown, whose radius is very small compared to the local wire length. The wire therefore appears very long and straight. We obtain:

$$\oint_k \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = \int \int_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

The magnetic field is presumed time-varying, and thus it generates emf, which in turn provides the current.



$$\Rightarrow~~ {f H} = rac{I}{2 \pi
ho} \, {f a}_{\phi}$$
 as usual

STUDENTS-HUB.com

The integration surface is the flat plane whose perimeter is the circlular path, *k*. We assign the *z* direction (locally) to be along the current where it passes through the plane. Uploaded By: Mohammad Awawdeh

Demonstration of Displacement Current

While current is flowing, the electric field in the capacitor is changing with time, in step $V_0(t)$ with the plate charge level, deposited by the current. Η S The capacitor voltage is $V_0(t)$. Taking the capacitor axis as z, assuming free space permittivity, area = Aand with cap dimensions as indictated, the time-varying electric flux density B within it is: $\Phi_e = \int \int \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{\epsilon_0 A V_0(t)}{d}$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Demonstration of Displacement Current

Now suppose the surface S, surrounded by the original contour, k, is stretched so that it intercepts the electric field between capacitor plates:

Ampere's Law is again applied, but this time we need to use the displacement current in the capacitor: Stretched surface now intercepts electric field lines, and no conduction current



Maxwell's Equations in Point Form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_v$$

Ampere's Circuital Law

Faraday's Law of Induction

Gauss'Law for the electric field

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

Gauss's Law for the magnetic field

STUDENTS-HUB.com

https://youtu.be/W1cTpqM9DaU

STUDENTS-HUB.com

EM waves

Changing E - generates B Transevers Waves changing B - > generates E ESBL propagation direction How fast ? $C = \Lambda f = 3 \times 10^{8}$ OF [m] [m] [Jed 3 Maxwell's Equations



Detecting the EM wave 3-





STUDENTS-HUB.com

Maxwell's Equations in Point Form

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_v$$

Ampere's Circuital Law

Faraday's Law of Induction

Gauss'Law for the electric field

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

Gauss's Law for the magnetic field

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Ampere's Circuital Law in Integral Form

Take the surface integral of the point form equation:

Apply Stokes's Theorem to the left hand side:

$$\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = I + I_d$$

where

$$I_{d} = \int_{S} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \frac{d\Phi_{e}}{dt}$$
STUDENTS-HUB.com



Remember to use the right hand convention on relating path direction http://www.commad.awawdeh

Faraday's Law of Induction in Integral Form

Take the surface integral of the point form equation:

$$\int_{S} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_{S} -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Apply Stokes's Theorem to the left hand side:

$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\frac{d\Phi_{m}}{dt}$$



Remember to use the right hand convention on relating path direction headeral Byctor Johammad Awawdeh

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Gauss's Law for the Electric Field in Integral Form

Begin with: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_v$ and integrate both sides over a volume, v:

$$\int_{v} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \, dv = \int_{v} \rho_{v} \, dv = Q_{encl}$$

Apply the divergence theorem to the left hand side:

$$\oint_{S} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = Q_{encl}$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Gauss's Law for the Magnetic Field in Integral Form

Begin with: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ and integrate both sides over a volume, v:

$$\int_{v} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dv = 0$$

Apply the divergence theorem to the left hand side:

$$\oint_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Scalar and Vector Potentials, Revisited

In a previous unit, we defined the scalar electric potential, and vector magnetic potential, under conditions in which there is no time variation:

Scalar electric potential (satisfies Poisson's equation):

$$V = \int_{\text{vol}} \frac{\rho_{\nu} d\nu}{4\pi \epsilon R} \quad \text{(static)} \qquad \nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\epsilon}$$

Vector magnetic potential:

$$\mathbf{A} = \int_{\text{vol}} \frac{\mu \mathbf{J} \, dv}{4\pi R} \quad (\text{dc}) \qquad \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\mu \mathbf{J}$$

Knowing V, we find E through: $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$ (static)

STUDENTS-HUB.com A, we find B through: $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ (dc) added By: Mohammad Awawdeh

Inconsistancies with Time-Varying Fields

Take the curl of both sides of $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$



So instead, postulate a correction to the original equation, where the field N is to be found:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V + \mathbf{N}$$

Now take the curl:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 + \nabla \times \mathbf{N} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Inconsistancies with Time-Varying Fields

 $\partial \mathbf{A}$

 ∂t

We now have:
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 + \nabla \times \mathbf{N} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

where $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{N} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})$$

 $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$

or:
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{N} = -\nabla \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

So the modified expression for E under time-varying conditions is: STUDENTS-HUB.com

Further Confirmation: Satisfying the Other Maxwell Equations

We must now verify that $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$ satisfies the other Maxwell Equations

To do this, begin by substituting the equation into the other Maxwell curl equation:

$$abla imes \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$
Using:
$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mu \mathbf{H} \\
\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}
\end{cases}$$

Obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\mu}\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J} + \epsilon \left(-\nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}\right)$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Satisfying Ampere's Law (continued)

Now have:
$$\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J} + \epsilon \left(-\nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right)$$

Use the vector identity: $\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A}$

to obtain:

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu \mathbf{J} - \mu \epsilon \left(\nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right)$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Satisfying Gauss' Law

Next:
$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$

must satisfy
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho_{\nu}$$
 where $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}$

Make the substitution to find:

$$\epsilon \left(-\nabla \cdot \nabla V - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} \right) = \rho_{\nu}$$

or finally:
$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho_v}{\epsilon}$$

_

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Collecting Results

From Ampere's Law, we now have:

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu \mathbf{J} - \mu \epsilon \left(\nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right)$$

.. and from Gauss' Law:

$$\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\epsilon}$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Assigning the Divergence: Lorentz Gauge

A vector field is fully characterized if we have specified its divergence, its curl, its value at a specific point, which could lie at infinity. The vector potential, \mathbf{A} , already has its curl specified (\mathbf{B}), so we are now free to specify the divergence in such a way as to make the equations sensible (and solvable) for real situations.

In view of these considerations, we specify the divergence of A as follows:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\mu \epsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$$

Such assignments are known as gauge conditions. The one just identified is known as the Lorentz Gauge.

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Simplifying the Equations

With the Lorentz Gauge, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -\mu \epsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}$

the two equations are simplified in the following ways:

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \mu \mathbf{J} - \mu \epsilon \left(\nabla \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} \right)$$

 $\nabla^2 V = -\frac{\rho_v}{\epsilon} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial t^2}$

becomes:
$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = -\mu \mathbf{J} + \mu \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2}$$

and the previous: $\nabla^2 V + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) = -\frac{\rho_v}{\epsilon}$

now becomes:

STUDENTS-HUB.com

These are both *wave equations*, yielding propagating functions as their solutions. This topic will be discussed at length in subsequent chapters.

The Propagation Effect: Delayed Response

The ulimate effect of time-varying fields is that their effects (with regard to potentials, forces, or their very existence) will be felt after a *time delay*. This delay is proportional to the distance between the source of the field, and the point of observation, and represents the time required for a "disturbance" to propagate between those two points. So the previous integral expressions that we had for scalar and vector potential are modifed to accommodate this delay in a fairly simple manner:



 \mathcal{U}_p is the "propagation velocity" (not to be confused with volume). V and A are now propagating functions.

The argument $(t - R/v_p)$ indicates that at farther distances, R, the delay time t at which the potential will be felt is longer. Upfoaded By: Mohammad Awawdeh

Exercises

STUDENTS-HUB.com

- **0.1.** In Fig. 9.4, let $B = 0.2 \cos 120\pi t$ T, and assume that the conductor joining the two ends of the resistor is perfect. It may be assumed that the magnetic field produced by I(t) is negligible. Find:
 - a) $V_{ab}(t)$: Since *B* is constant over the loop area, the flux is $\Phi = \pi (0.15)^2 B = 1.41 \times 10^{-2} \cos 120\pi t$ Wb. Now, $emf = V_{ba}(t) = -d\Phi/dt = (120\pi)(1.41 \times 10^{-2}) \sin 120\pi t$. Then $V_{ab}(t) = -V_{ba}(t) = -5.33 \sin 120\pi t$ V.

b)
$$I(t) = V_{ba}(t)/R = 5.33 \sin(120\pi t)/250 = 21.3 \sin(120\pi t) \text{ mA}$$



Uploaded By: Mohammad Awawdeh

STUDENTS-HUB.com

- **.3.** Given $\mathbf{H} = 300 \, \mathbf{a}_z \, \cos(3 \times 10^8 t y) \, \text{A/m}$ in free space, find the emf developed in the general \mathbf{a}_{ϕ} direction about the closed path having corners at
 - a) (0,0,0), (1,0,0), (1,1,0), and (0,1,0): The magnetic flux will be:

$$\Phi = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 300\mu_0 \cos(3 \times 10^8 t - y) \, dx \, dy = 300\mu_0 \sin(3 \times 10^8 t - y)|_0^1$$
$$= 300\mu_0 \left[\sin(3 \times 10^8 t - 1) - \sin(3 \times 10^8 t)\right] \text{ Wb}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{emf} &= -\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -300(3 \times 10^8)(4\pi \times 10^{-7}) \left[\cos(3 \times 10^8 t - 1) - \cos(3 \times 10^8 t) \right] \\ &= -1.13 \times 10^5 \left[\cos(3 \times 10^8 t - 1) - \cos(3 \times 10^8 t) \right] \, \mathrm{V} \end{split}$$

b) corners at (0,0,0), $(2\pi,0,0)$, $(2\pi,2\pi,0)$, $(0,2\pi,0)$: In this case, the flux is

$$\Phi = 2\pi \times 300\mu_0 \sin(3 \times 10^8 t - y)|_0^{2\pi} = 0$$

The emf is therefore $\underline{0}$.

STUDENTS-HUB.com

9.20. Given Maxwell's equations in point form, assume that all fields vary as e^{st} and write the equations without explicitly involving time: Write all fields in the general form $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{r})e^{st}$, where \mathbf{r} is a position vector in any coordinate system. Maxwell's equations become:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\mathbf{B}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} \right) = -s \mathbf{B}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st}$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} = \mathbf{J}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\mathbf{D}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} \right) = \mathbf{J}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} + s \mathbf{D}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st} = \rho_{0}(\mathbf{r}) e^{st}$$

$$abla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{r}) \, e^{st} = 0$$

In all cases, the e^{st} terms divide out, leaving:

$$egin{aligned}
abla imes \mathbf{E}_0(\mathbf{r}) &= -s \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{r}) \
abla imes \mathbf{H}_0(\mathbf{r}) &= \mathbf{J}_0(\mathbf{r}) + s \mathbf{D}_0(\mathbf{r}) \
abla imes \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{D}_0(\mathbf{r}) &=
ho_0(\mathbf{r}) \
abla imes \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{B}_0(\mathbf{r}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

STUDENTS-HUB.com

9.26. Write Maxwell's equations in point form in terms of **E** and **H** as they apply to a sourceless medium, where **J** and ρ_v are both zero. Replace ϵ by μ , μ by ϵ , **E** by **H**, and **H** by $-\mathbf{E}$, and show that the equations are unchanged. This is a more general expression of the *duality* principle in circuit theory.

Maxwell's equations in sourceless media can be written as:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \epsilon \mathbf{E} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{H} = 0 \tag{4}$$

In making the above substitutions, we find that (1) converts to (2), (2) converts to (1), and (3) and (4) convert to each other.

STUDENTS-HUB.com