

2.4: Cauchy sequences

Def 1: A sequence of points $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be cauchy (in \mathbb{R}) iff

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \text{ an } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t } n, m \geq N \Rightarrow |x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon.$$

Rmk 1: If $\{x_n\}$ is convergent, then $\{x_n\}$ is cauchy.

Pf: Suppose that $x_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \text{ an } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t } |x_n - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \geq N \quad \text{By Def.}$$

$$\text{Hence, if } n, m \geq N, \text{ then } |x_n - x_m| = |x_n - a + a - x_m|$$

$$\leq |x_n - a| + |x_m - a|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$< \varepsilon$$

The following result shows that the converse of the above remark is also true
(for real sequences).

Thm 1: Cauchy:

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then $\{x_n\}$ is cauchy iff
 $\{x_n\}$ converges (to some point a in \mathbb{R}).

Thm 1 proof : By RMK1, we need only show every cauchy sequence converges.

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is cauchy.

(Given) $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $|x_N - x_m| < \varepsilon$ for all $m \geq N$.

By (triangle) inequality we have $|x_M| \leq |x_N| + |x_M - x_N|$.

$$|x_M| = |x_M - x_N + x_N|$$

$$\leq |x_N - x_M| + |x_N|. \quad \text{Since } |x_N - x_M| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } m \geq N.$$

$$< \varepsilon + |x_N| \quad \text{for } M \geq N.$$

Also, $|x_m| \leq \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_N|\} := M$ for $m=1, 2, \dots, N-1$.

Therefore, $|x_n| \leq \max\{M, \varepsilon + |x_N|\}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This means $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

By the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence

say $x_{n_k} \rightarrow a$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, since $\{x_n\}$ is cauchy, $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n, m \geq N_1 \Rightarrow |x_n - x_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{L}$.

Since $x_{n_k} \rightarrow a$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $K \geq N_2 \Rightarrow |x_{n_k} - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Fix $K \geq N_2$ s.t. $n_k \geq N_1$. Then

$$|x_n - a| = |x_n - x_{n_k} + x_{n_k} - a|$$

$$\leq |x_n - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - a|$$

~~Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n \geq N_1$. Then $|x_n - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.~~

(By the defn of convergence of $\{x_n\}$)

$$\therefore |x_n - a| < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq N_1.$$

Thus, $x_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ \square

RMK 2: This result is extremely useful because it is often easier to show that sequence is Cauchy than to show that it converges.

exp: prove that any real sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfies $|x_n - x_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ is conv.

Proof: If $m > n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x_m| &= |x_n - x_{n+1} + x_{n+1} - x_{n+2} + \dots + x_{m-1} - x_m| \\ &\leq |x_n - x_{n+1}| + |x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}| + \dots + |x_{m-1} - x_m| \end{aligned}$$

using * $\leq \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-n}} \right]$$

Geometric series $a_1 = \text{First term} = \frac{1}{2}$, $r = \text{ratio} = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore S_p &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left[\frac{a_1(1-r^{m-n})}{1-r} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}(1-(\frac{1}{2})^{m-n})}{1-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left[\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2^{m-n}}) \right]$$

It follows that $|x_n - x_m| < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \quad \forall m > n \geq 1 \rightarrow$

But given $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $n \geq N \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} < \epsilon$.

We have proved that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.

By Thm 1, therefore it converges to some real number

RMK 3 : A sequence that satisfies $x_{n+1} - x_n \rightarrow 0$ is not necessarily Cauchy.

Proof : Consider the sequence $x_n := \log n$

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \log(n+1) - \log n = \log \frac{n+1}{n} \rightarrow \log 1 = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\{x_n\}$ cannot be Cauchy because it does not conv.

$$\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log n = \infty \right)$$



RMK : Every Cauchy seq. is bdd. What about converse? Not Nec.

$$x_n = (-1)^n \quad |x_n| = 1 \quad x_n \text{ is bdd.}$$

But x_n is not Cauchy since it is not converges.