

History and Attitudes Regarding Private Practice

A faint, stylized background image of two hands shaking, rendered in a lighter shade of teal against the darker teal background.

Definition

- **Attitude:** a manner of acting, feeling, or thinking that shows one's disposition, opinion, etc.
 - One's attitude to motivate his/her action
 - Attitudes of others regarding one's action
- **Public's attitude:** any professional could be a private practitioner
 - Negative attitudes come from within the profession

History

- **1895:** Mary Richmond, a social worker, accepted direct pay for her services
 - Resulted in 40 year ethical debate
- **1950s:** “Those in private practice are no longer social workers” (President of NASW)
- **1961:**
 - NASW endorsed a definition of private practice
 - But, major debate issues remained:
 - What about those who could not pay?
 - Private practice was ill-defined
 - Private practice would create shortage of professional in other service delivery areas
 - Private practice of social work was an oxymoron

What About Speech-Language Pathology?

- Founders of the field accepted direct pay
- **1932:** Paul Knight (first trained SLP) accepted direct pay
- Attitudes facing SLPs in private practice:
 - Private practitioners are only interested in the money
 - If you can NOT guarantee a “cure”, you can NOT charge
 - Fees are totally unrealistic
 - Private practitioners are unethical
 - You only see patients who could afford to pay, leaving others for more “traditional” service settings

OK, Let's Talk About Them

- Private practitioners are only interested in the money
 - Private practitioners use a sliding fee scale and/or
 - They do pro bono work
- If you can NOT guarantee a “cure”, you can NOT charge
 - Oh yeah? What about physicians, lawyers, etc.?
 - Also, SLPs NOT in private practice receive indirect payment although no such guarantee is given

Continue...

- **Fees are totally unrealistic**
 - But, they cover all expenses, including the SLPs salary
 - Actually, during the first 5 years, the practitioner's salary is lower than his peers
- **Private practitioners are unethical**
 - Ethics depend on a person's values not on a work setting
- **You only see patients who could afford to pay, leaving others for more “traditional” service settings**
 - But, this is the same in the most “traditional” of service settings – if third-party payer's money runs out!
 - If taking direct pay is the issue, why accept paying an additional layer of administration from money you generated before getting paid?

Growth

- **1959:** 32% of public school clinicians in Indiana were engaged in private practice (Summers, 1959)
- **1962:** 40.8% of SLPs in Texas do private practice (Battin & Landes, 1962)
- **1964:** 2% of all ASHA members do private practice at least 30 hrs/wk
- **1965:** Formation of AAPPSPA (American Academy of Private Practice in Speech-Pathology and Audiology)

ASHA's Definition of Private Practice

- A private practice is one in which a speech-language pathologist and/or an audiologist, singly or in affiliation with one or more individuals:
 - Has total ethical, professional, and administrative control of the practice
 - Has total financial and legal responsibility and liability for the practice
 - Is self-employed
 - Accepts referrals from multiple sources

What attitudes lead someone to open a private practice?

- Desire for autonomy (do it “my way”)
- Desire for flexibility with hours and types of clients served
- Don't want to move into administration
- Face the challenge of survival on own merit
- Have the chance to work with motivated clients
- Involve the family more in the treatment of clients
- Have more control over one's work environment
- Anyone can do it!! → failure!!