Chapter 5 Network Layer: Control Plane

A note on the use of these PowerPoint slides: We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.


For a revision history, see the slide note for this page.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

All material copyright 1996-2023 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

STUDENTS-HUB.com

DELEVORKING A TOP-DOWN APPROACH Eighth Edition **Computer Networking: A Computer Networking: A Computer Networking: A Computer Networking: A Sth edition** Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Pearson, 2020 Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada



Network layer control plane: our goals

- understand principles behind network control plane:
 - traditional routing algorithms
 - SDN controllers
 - network management, configuration

- Instantiation, implementation in the Internet:
 - OSPF, BGP
 - OpenFlow, ODL and ONOS controllers
 - Internet Control Message Protocol: ICMP
 - SNMP, YANG/NETCONF

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- Introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- Intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Network-layer functions

- forwarding: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
 - routing: determine route taken by packets from source to destination

data plane


control plane

Two approaches to structuring network control plane:

- per-router control (traditional)
- Iogically centralized control (software defined networking)

Per-router control plane


Individual routing algorithm components *in each and every router* interact in the control plane




STUDENTS-HUB.com

Software-Defined Networking (SDN) control plane


Remote controller computes, installs forwarding tables in routers



Per-router control plane



SDN control plane



STUDENTS-HUB.com

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

introduction

routing protocols

- link state
- distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol




- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada


Routing protocols

Routing protocol goal: determine "good" paths (equivalently, routes), from sending hosts to receiving host, through network of routers

- path: sequence of routers packets traverse from given initial source host to final destination host
- "good": least "cost", "fastest", "least congested"
- routing: a "top-10" networking challenge!



Graph abstraction: link costs



 $c_{a,b}$: cost of *direct* link connecting *a* and *b e.g.*, $c_{w,z} = 5$, $c_{u,z} = \infty$


> cost defined by network operator: could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

graph: *G* = (*N*,*E*)

N: set of routers = { u, v, w, x, y, z }

E: set of links ={ (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) }

STUDENTS-HUB.com



Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada

Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

- centralized: network topology, link costs known to all nodes
 - accomplished via "link state broadcast"
 - all nodes have same info
- computes least cost paths from one node ("source") to all other nodes
 - gives *forwarding table* for that node
- iterative: after k iterations, know least cost path to k destinations

- notation

- C_{x,y}: <u>direct</u> link cost from node x to y; = ∞ if not direct neighbors
- D(v): current estimate of cost of least-cost-path from source to destination v
- *p(v):* predecessor node along path from source to v
- N': set of nodes whose leastcost-path *definitively* known

Dijkstra's link-state routing algorithm

- 1 Initialization:
- 2 $N' = \{u\}$
- 3 for all nodes v
- 4 if *v* adjacent to *u*
- 5 then $D(v) = c_{u,v}$
- 6 else $D(v) = \infty$

/* compute least cost path from u to all other nodes */


/* u initially knows direct-path-cost only to direct neighbors */
/* but may not be minimum cost! */


8 Loop

- 9 find w not in N' such that D(w) is a minimum
- 10 add *w* to *N*′
- 11 update D(v) for all v adjacent to w and not in N':
- 12 $D(v) = \min(D(v), D(w) + c_{w,v})$
- 13 /* new least-path-cost to v is either old least-cost-path to v or known
- 14 least-cost-path to *w* plus direct-cost from *w* to *v* */

15 until all nodes in N'


STUDENTS-HUB.com






Initialization (step 0): For all *a*: if *a* adjacent to *u* then $D(a) = c_{u,a}$

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada







8 Loop

- 9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*′

STUDENTS-HUB.com







8 Loop

9

- find *a* not in *N*′ such that *D(a)* is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*′
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$

 $D(v) = min (D(v), D(x) + c_{x,v}) = min(2, 1+2) = 2$ $D(w) = min (D(w), D(x) + c_{x,w}) = min (5, 1+3) = 4$ $D(y) = min (D(y), D(x) + c_{x,v}) = min(inf, 1+1) = 2$







8 Loop

- 9 find *a* not in *N*' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*′

STUDENTS-HUB.com







8 Loop

9

- find *a* not in *N*′ such that *D(a)* is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*′
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$

 $D(w) = min (D(w), D(y) + c_{y,w}) = min (4, 2+1) = 3$ $D(z) = min (D(z), D(y) + c_{y,z}) = min(inf, 2+2) = 4$





8 Loop

9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
10 add a to N'

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,X)	∞
2	uxy	(2,u)	З,у			4,y
3	uxyv		З,у			4,y
4						

5


8 Loop


9

- find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*′
- 11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$

 $D(w) = min (D(w), D(v) + c_{v,w}) = min (3, 2+3) = 3$

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada






STUDENTS-HUB.com

8 Loop

find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum 9

add *a* to N' 10

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,X)	∞
2	uxy	(2,u)	З,у			4,y
3	uxyv		<u>3,y</u>			4,y
4	uxyvw					4,y
5						



STUDENTS-HUB.com


Loop 8


9

find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum add a to N' 10

update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': 11 $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$

 $D(z) = min (D(z), D(w) + c_{w,z}) = min (4, 3+5) = 4$






8 Loop

- 9 find *a* not in *N*' such that D(a) is a minimum
- 10 add *a* to *N*′

STUDENTS-HUB.com

		V	W	X	У	Z
Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	(1,u)	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		(2,X)	∞
2	uxy	(2,u)	З,у			4,y
3	uxyv		<u>3,y</u>			4,y
4	uxyvw					(4,y)
5	UXVVWZ					




8 Loop

9 find a not in N' such that D(a) is a minimum

10 add *a* to *N*′


11 update D(b) for all b adjacent to a and not in N': $D(b) = \min(D(b), D(a) + c_{a,b})$


Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada





resulting least-cost-path tree from u:











notes:

- construct least-cost-path tree by tracing predecessor nodes
- ties can exist (can be broken arbitrarily)

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Dijkstra's algorithm: discussion

algorithm complexity: n nodes


- each of n iteration: need to check all nodes, w, not in N
- n(n+1)/2 comparisons: O(n²) complexity
- more efficient implementations possible: O(nlogn)


message complexity:


- each router must *broadcast* its link state information to other *n* routers
- efficient (and interesting!) broadcast algorithms: O(n) link crossings to disseminate a broadcast message from one source
- each router's message crosses O(n) links: overall message complexity: O(n²)


Dijkstra's algorithm: oscillations possible

- when link costs depend on traffic volume, route oscillations possible
- sample scenario:
 - routing to destination **a**, traffic entering at **d**, **c**, **e** with rates 1, e (<1), 1
 - link costs are directional, and volume-dependent









initially

given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs

given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs given these costs, find new routing.... resulting in new costs

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
 - link state
 - distance vector
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada

Distance vector algorithm


Based on *Bellman-Ford* (BF) equation (dynamic programming):

Bellman-Ford equation Let $D_x(y)$: cost of least-cost path from x to y. Then: $D_{x}(y) = \min_{v} \{ c_{x,v} + D_{v}(y) \}$ v's estimated least-cost-path cost to y *min* taken over all neighbors v of x^{\dagger} direct cost of link from x to v

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Bellman-Ford Example

Suppose that *u*'s neighboring nodes, *x*,*v*,*w*, know that for destination *z*:



Bellman-Ford equation says: $D_u(z) = \min \{ c_{u,v} + D_v(z), \\ c_{u,x} + D_x(z), \\ c_{u,w} + D_w(z) \}$

$$= \min \{2 + 5, 1 + 3, 5 + 3\} = 4$$

node achieving minimum (x) is next hop on estimated leastcost path to destination (z)

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada

Distance vector algorithm

key idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from any neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:


 $D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_v \{c_{x,v} + D_v(y)\}$ for each node $y \in N$

under minor, natural conditions, the estimate D_x(y) converge to the actual least cost d_x(y)

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Distance vector algorithm:

each node:




if DV to any destination has changed, *notify* neighbors **iterative, asynchronous:** each local iteration caused by:

- Iocal link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor


distributed, self-stopping: each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes

- neighbors then notify their neighbors – only if necessary
- no notification received, no actions taken!

Distance vector: example



- All nodes have distance estimates to nearest neighbors (only)
- All nodes send their local distance vector to their neighbors




STUDENTS-HUB.com

Distance vector example: iteration



All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors




STUDENTS-HUB.com

(7) t=1

All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors


STUDENTS-HUB.com





All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors




STUDENTS-HUB.com



All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors




STUDENTS-HUB.com

() t=2

All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors


STUDENTS-HUB.com





All nodes:

- receive distance vectors from neighbors
- compute their new local distance vector
- send their new local distance vector to neighbors





STUDENTS-HUB.com


.... and so on

Let's next take a look at the iterative *computations* at nodes


STUDENTS-HUB.com







Distance vector example:



$D_c(a) = \infty$
D _c (b) = 1
$D_{c}(c) = 0$
$D_c(d) = \infty$
$D_c(e) = \infty$
$D_c(f) = \infty$
$D_c(g) = \infty$
D _c (h) = ∞
D _c (i) = ∞



c receives DVs from b computes:


 $D_{c}(a) = min\{c_{c,b}+D_{b}(a)\} = 1 + 8 = 9$ $D_{c}(b) = min\{c_{c,b}+D_{b}(b)\} = 1 + 0 = 1$ $D_{c}(d) = \min\{c_{c,b}+D_{b}(d)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$ $D_{c}(e) = min\{c_{c,b}+D_{b}(e)\} = 1 + 1 = 2$ $D_{c}(f) = \min\{c_{c,b}+D_{b}(f)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$ $D_{c}(g) = \min\{c_{c,b}+D_{b}(g)\} = 1+\infty = \infty$ $D_{c}(h) = \min\{c_{bc,b}+D_{b}(h)\} = 1+\infty = \infty$ $D_c(i) = min\{c_{c,b}+D_b(i)\} = 1 + \infty = \infty$

DV in c:
$D_{c}(a) = 9$
D _c (b) = 1
$D_{c}(c) = 0$
$D_{c}(d) = 2$
D _c (e) = ∞
D _c (f) = ∞
$D_c(g) = \infty$
D _c (h) = ∞
D _c (i) = ∞

Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples:

http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose ross/interactive/

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada



Distance vector: state information diffusion

Iterative communication, computation steps diffuses information through network:

t=0 c's state at t=0 is at c only

🕐 t=1

c's state at t=0 has propagated to b, and may influence distance vector computations up to **1** hop away, i.e., at b

() t=2

c's state at t=0 may now influence distance vector computations up to **2** hops away, i.e., at b and now at a, e as well

🕞 t=3

c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to **3** hops away, i.e., at d, f, h


c's state at t=0 may influence distance vector computations up to **4** hops away, i.e., at g, i


t=1 t=2

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada

Distance vector: example-2

- There is a network consisting of 4 routers.
- The weights are mentioned on the edges.
- Weights could be distances or costs or delays.





- Each router prepares its routing table using its local knowledge.
- Routing table prepared by each router as follows:
 At Router C:

At Router A:			At Router E	<u>3:</u>		Al Rouler C		
Destination	Distance	Next Hop	Destination	Distance	Next Hop	Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	0	A	А	2	А	A	∞	_
В	2	В	В	0	В	В	3	В
С	~	_	С	3	С	C	0	С
D	1	D	D	7	D	D	11	D


	Destination	Distance	Next Hop
At Router D:	А	1	A
<u>At Router D.</u>	В	7	В
	С	11	С
٦	D	0	D

STUDENTS-HUB.com

- Each router exchanges its distance vector obtained in Step-1 with its neighbors.
- After exchanging the distance vectors, each router prepares a new routing table.

At Router A

- Router A receives distance vectors from its neighbors B and D.
- Router A prepares a new routing table:
- Cost of reaching destination B from router A = min { 2+0 , 1+7 } = 2 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination C from router A = min { 2+3 , 1+11 } = 5 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination D from router A = min { 2+7 , 1+0 } = 1 via D.




STUPE(NTS=HUP:eth) = 1



New Routing Table at Router A


Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	0	А
В	2	В
С	5	В
D	1	D aded By: Mo



- Router B receives distance vectors from its neighbors A, C and D.
- Router B prepares a new routing table as:

At Router B


- Cost of reaching destination A from router B = min { 2+0, $3+\infty$, 7+1 } = 2 via A.
- Cost of reaching destination C from router B = min { 2+∞, 3+0, 7+11 } = 3 via C.
- Cost of reaching destination D from router B = min { 2+1 , 3+11 , 7+0 } = 3 via A.



Destination	Distance	Next hop
A		
В	0	В
С		
D		

New Routing Table at Router B

Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	2	А
В	0	В
С	3	С
D	3	А





STUDENTS-HUB.com

- Router C receives distance vectors from its neighbors B and D.
- Router C prepares a new routing table as:

At Router C

- Cost of reaching destination A from router C = min { 3+2 , 11+1 } = 5 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination B from router C = min { 3+0 , 11+7 } = 3 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination D from router C = min { 3+7 , 11+0 } = 10 via B.





New Routing Table at Router C


Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	5	В
В	3	В
С	0	С
D	10	В

Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada

- Router D receives distance vectors from its neighbors A, B and C.
- Router D prepares a new routing table as:

At Router D

- Cost of reaching destination A from router D = min { 1+0 , 7+2 , $11+\infty$ } = 1 via A.
- Cost of reaching destination B from router D = min { 1+2 , 7+0 , 11+3 } = 3 via A.
- Cost of reaching destination C from router D = min { $1+\infty$, 7+3 , 11+0 } = 10 via B.




STUDENTS-HUB.com

Destination	Distance	Next hop
Α		
В		
С		
D	0	D

New Routing Table at Router D


Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	1	А
В	3	А
С	10	В
D	0	D




- Each router exchanges its distance vector obtained in Step-2 with its neighboring routers.
- After exchanging the distance vectors, each router prepares a new routing table.

At Router A

- Router A receives distance vectors from its neighbors B and D.
- Router A prepares a new routing table:
- Cost of reaching destination B from router A = min { 2+0 , 1+3 } = 2 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination C from router A = min { 2+3 , 1+10 } = 5 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination D from router A = min { 2+3 , 1+0 } = 1 via D.




STUDENTS-HUB.com



New Routing Table at Router A


Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	0	A
В	2	В
С	5	В
D	1	D Daded By: M




- Router B receives distance vectors from its neighbors A, C and D.
- Router B prepares a new routing table as:

At Router B


- Cost of reaching destination A from router B = min { 2+0 , 3+5 , 3+1 } = 2 via A.
- Cost of reaching destination C from router B = min { 2+5 , 3+0 , 3+10 } = 3 via C.
- Cost of reaching destination D from router B = min { 2+1 , 3+10 , 3+0 } = 3 via A.





New Routing Table at Router B

Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	2	А
В	0	В
С	3	С
D	3	А





Uploaded By: Mohammed Saada

- Router C receives distance vectors from its neighbors B and D.
- Router C prepares a new routing table as:


At Router C

- Cost of reaching destination A from router C = min { 3+2 , 10+1 } = 5 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination B from router C = min { 3+0 , 10+3 } = 3 via B.
- Cost of reaching destination D from router C = min { 3+3 , 10+0 } = 6 via B.





Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	5	В
В	3	В
С	0	С
D	6	В




STUDENTS-HUB.com

- Router D receives distance vectors from its neighbors A, B and C.
- Router D prepares a new routing table as:

At Router D

- Cost of reaching destination A from router D = min { 1+0 , 3+2 , 10+5 } = 1 via A.
- Cost of reaching destination B from router D = min { 1+2 , 3+0 , 10+3 } = 3 via A.
- Cost of reaching destination C from router D = min { 1+5 , 3+3 , 10+0 } = 6 via A.




C	Destination	Distance	Next hop
Γ	Α		
ſ	В		
	С		
	D	0	D

New Routing Table at Router D


Destination	Distance	Next Hop
А	1	А
В	3	А
С	6	А
D	0	D










Distance vector: example-3



STUDENTS-HUB.com








Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates local DV
- if DV changes, notify neighbors



 t_o : y detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its neighbors.

"good news travels fast"


t₁: z receives update from y, updates its DV, computes new least cost
 to x, sends its neighbors its DV.

*t*₂: *y* receives *z*'s update, updates its DV. *y*'s least costs do *not* change, so *y* does *not* send a message to *z*.

Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

node detects local link cost change



- "bad news travels slow" count-to-infinity problem:
 - y sees direct link to x has new cost 60, but z has said it has a path at cost of 5. So y computes "my new cost to x will be 6, via z); notifies z of new cost of 6 to x.
 - z learns that path to x via y has new cost 6, so z computes "my new cost to x will be 7 via y), notifies y of new cost of 7 to x.
 - y learns that path to x via z has new cost 7, so y computes "my new cost to x will be 8 via y), notifies z of new cost of 8 to x.
 - z learns that path to x via y has new cost 8, so z computes "my new cost to x will be 9 via y), notifies y of new cost of 9 to x.

see text for solutions. Distributed algorithms are tricky!

STUDENTS-HUB.com

...

Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

message complexity

LS: *n* routers, O(n²) messages sent
DV: exchange between neighbors; convergence time varies

speed of convergence

- LS: $O(n^2)$ algorithm, $O(n^2)$ messages
- may have oscillations
- DV: convergence time varies
- may have routing loops
- count-to-infinity problem

robustness: what happens if router malfunctions, or is compromised?

LS:

- router can advertise incorrect *link* cost
- each router computes only its own table

DV:

- router can advertise incorrect *path* cost ("I have a *really* low-cost path to everywhere"): *black-holing*
- each router's DV is used by others: error propagate thru network

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
- Intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Making routing scalable

our routing study thus far - idealized

- all routers identical
- network "flat"

... not true in practice

scale: billions of destinations:

- can't store all destinations in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy:

- Internet: a network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

Internet approach to scalable routing

aggregate routers into regions known as "autonomous systems" (AS) (a.k.a. "domains")


intra-AS (aka "intra-domain"):
routing among routers within same
AS ("network")

- all routers in AS must run same intradomain protocol
- routers in different AS can run different intra-domain routing protocols
- gateway router: at "edge" of its own AS, has link(s) to router(s) in other AS'es

inter-AS (aka "inter-domain"): routing *among* AS'es

 gateways perform inter-domain routing (as well as intra-domain routing)

Interconnected ASes



forwarding table configured by intraand inter-AS routing algorithms

- intra-AS routing determine entries for destinations within AS
- inter-AS & intra-AS determine entries for external destinations


STUDENTS-HUB.com

Inter-AS routing: a role in intra-domain forwarding

- suppose router in AS1 receives datagram destined outside of AS1:
- P router should forward packet to gateway router in AS1, but which one?

AS1 inter-domain routing must:

- 1. learn which destinations reachable through AS2, which through AS3
- 2. propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1



Intra-AS routing: routing within an AS

most common intra-AS routing protocols:


- RIP: Routing Information Protocol [RFC 1723]
 - classic DV: DVs exchanged every 30 secs
 - no longer widely used
- EIGRP: Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol
 - DV based
 - formerly Cisco-proprietary for decades (became open in 2013 [RFC 7868])
- OSPF: Open Shortest Path First [RFC 2328]
 - link-state routing
 - IS-IS protocol (ISO standard, not RFC standard) essentially same as OSPF

OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) routing

- "open": publicly available
- classic link-state
 - each router floods OSPF link-state advertisements (directly over IP rather than using TCP/UDP) to all other routers in entire AS
 - multiple link costs metrics possible: bandwidth, delay
 - each router has full topology, uses Dijkstra's algorithm to compute forwarding table
- security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)

Hierarchical OSPF

- two-level hierarchy: local area, backbone.
 - link-state advertisements flooded only in area, or backbone
 - each node has detailed area topology; only knows direction to reach other destinations




Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
- routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Interconnected ASes



intra-AS (aka "intra-domain"): routing among routers within same AS ("network")

inter-AS (aka "inter-domain"): routing *among* AS'es


STUDENTS-HUB.com

Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- BGP (Border Gateway Protocol): the de facto inter-domain routing protocol
 - "glue that holds the Internet together"
- allows subnet to advertise its existence, and the destinations it can reach, to rest of Internet: "I am here, here is who I can reach, and how"
- BGP provides each AS a means to:
 - obtain destination network reachability info from neighboring ASes (eBGP)
 - determine routes to other networks based on reachability information and *policy*
 - propagate reachability information to all AS-internal routers (iBGP)
 - advertise (to neighboring networks) destination reachability info

STUDENTS-HUB.com

eBGP, iBGP connections






gateway routers run both eBGP and iBGP protocols

STUDENTS-HUB.com

BGP basics

- BGP session: two BGP routers ("peers", "speakers") exchange BGP messages over semi-permanent TCP connection:
 - advertising *paths* to different destination network prefixes (e.g., to a destination /16 network)
 - BGP is a "path vector" protocol
- when AS3 gateway 3a advertises path AS3,X to AS2 gateway 2c:
 - AS3 *promises* to AS2 it will forward datagrams towards X



BGP protocol messages


- BGP messages exchanged between peers over TCP connection
- BGP messages [RFC 4371]:
 - OPEN: opens TCP connection to remote BGP peer and authenticates sending BGP peer
 - **UPDATE:** advertises new path (or withdraws old)
 - KEEPALIVE: keeps connection alive in absence of UPDATES; also ACKs OPEN request
 - NOTIFICATION: reports errors in previous msg; also used to close connection

Path attributes and BGP routes

- BGP advertised route: prefix + attributes
 - prefix: destination being advertised
 - two important attributes:
 - AS-PATH: list of ASes through which prefix advertisement has passed
 - NEXT-HOP: indicates specific internal-AS router to next-hop AS


policy-based routing:

- router receiving route advertisement to destination X uses *policy* to accept/reject a path (e.g., never route through AS W, or country Y).
- router uses policy to decide whether to *advertise* a path to other neighboring AS Z (does router want to router traffic forwarded from Z destined to X?)



- AS2 router 2c receives path advertisement AS3,X (via eBGP) from AS3 router 3a
- based on AS2 policy, AS2 router 2c accepts path AS3,X, propagates (via iBGP) to all AS2 routers
- based on AS2 policy, AS2 router 2a advertises (via eBGP) path AS2, AS3, X to AS1 router 1c

STUDENTS-HUB.com




gateway router may learn about multiple paths to destination:

- AS1 gateway router 1c learns path AS2, AS3, X from 2a
- AS1 gateway router 1c learns path AS3, X from 3a
- based on *policy*, AS1 gateway router 1c chooses path AS3, X and advertises path within AS1 via iBGP

STUDENTS-HUB.com

BGP: achieving policy via advertisements




ISP only wants to route traffic to/from its customer networks (does not want to carry transit traffic between other ISPs – a typical "real world" policy)

- A advertises path A,w to B and to C
- B chooses not to advertise B,A,w to C!
 - B gets no "revenue" for routing C,B,A,w since none of C, A, w are B's customers
 - C does *not* learn about C,B,A,w path
- C will route C,A,w (not using B) to get to w

STUDENTS-HUB.com

BGP: achieving policy via advertisements (more)





ISP only wants to route traffic to/from its customer networks (does not want to carry transit traffic between other ISPs – a typical "real world" policy)

- A, B, and C are provider networks
- x, w, and y are customer (of provider networks)
- x is dual-homed: attached to two networks
- policy to enforce: x does not want to route from B to C via x
 - .. so x will not advertise to B a route to C

STUDENTS-HUB.com


BGP: populating forwarding tables





- recall: 1a, 1b, 1d learn via iBGP from 1c: "path to X goes through 1c"
- at 1d: OSPF intra-domain routing: to get to 1c, use interface 1
- at 1d: to get to X, use interface 1

BGP: populating forwarding tables




dest	interface
1c	2
Х	2

STUDENTS-HUB.com

- recall: 1a, 1b, 1d learn via iBGP from 1c: "path to X goes through 1c"
- at 1d: OSPF intra-domain routing: to get to 1c, use interface 1
- at 1d: to get to X, use interface 1
- at 1a: OSPF intra-domain routing: to get to 1c, use interface 2
- at 1a: to get to X, use interface 2

Hot potato routing



- 2d learns (via iBGP) it can route to X via 2a or 2c
- hot potato routing: choose local gateway that has least *intra-domain* cost (e.g., 2d chooses 2a, even though more AS hops to X): don't worry about inter-domain cost!

STUDENTS-HUB.com

BGP route selection

- router may learn about more than one route to destination AS, selects route based on:
 - 1. local preference value attribute: policy decision
 - 2. shortest AS-PATH
 - 3. closest NEXT-HOP router: hot potato routing
 - 4. additional criteria

Why different Intra-, Inter-AS routing?

policy:

- Inter-AS: admin wants control over how its traffic routed, who routes through its network
- Intra-AS: single admin, so policy less of an issue

scale: reducing forwarding table size, routing update traffic

- hierarchical routing: limiting the scope of full topological information
- BGP routing to CIDRized destination networks (summarized routes) performance:
- Intra-AS: can focus on performance
- Inter-AS: policy dominates over performance

Network layer: "control plane" roadmap

- introduction
- routing protocols
- intra-ISP routing: OSPF
 routing among ISPs: BGP
- SDN control plane
- Internet Control Message Protocol

(These topics will not be covered) STUDENTS-HUB.com



- network management, configuration
 - SNMP
 - NETCONF/YANG

Network layer: Summary

we've learned a lot!

- approaches to network control plane
 - per-router control (traditional)
 - logically centralized control (software defined networking)
- traditional routing algorithms
 - implementation in Internet: OSPF , BGP


next stop: link layer!

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Additional Chapter 5 slides

STUDENTS-HUB.com

Distance vector: another example



Distance vector: another example

